### Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. First Quarter 2013 Financial Statements and Notes

#### Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Consolidated Income Statement

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

**Consolidated Balance Sheet** 

Consolidated Statement of Common Shareholder's Equity

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

# Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
	(Millions of D	ollars)
OPERATING REVENUES		
Electric	\$ 145	\$ 128
Gas	82	82
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	227	210
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Purchased power	50	40
Gas purchased for resale	28	26
Operations and maintenance	70	77
Depreciation and amortization	14	13
Taxes, other than income taxes	18	16
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	180	172
OPERATING INCOME	47	38
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST AND INCOME TAX EXPENSE	47	38
INTEREST EXPENSE		
Interest on long-term debt	8	8
Other interest	3	-
NET INTEREST EXPENSE	11	8
INCOME BEFORE TAXES	36	30
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	6	10
NET INCOME	\$ 30	\$ 20

# Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

| For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015

### Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

For the Three Months Ended March 31,

	2013	2012
	(Millions of Dollars	)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 30	\$ 20
PRINCIPAL NON-CASH CHARGES/(CREDITS) TO INCOME		
Depreciation and amortization	14	13
Deferred income taxes	(4)	-
Other non-cash items (net)	5	10
CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES		
Accounts receivable - customers, less allowance for uncollectibles	(26)	(3)
Accounts receivable from affiliated companies	<del>-</del>	(6)
Materials and supplies, including gas in storage	12	14
Prepayments, other receivables and other current assets	8	1
Recoverable energy costs	4	-
Accounts payable	(42)	(26)
Accounts payable to affiliated companies	14	8
Pensions and retiree obligations	20	35
Accrued taxes	3	1
Accrued interest	1	2
Accrued wages	(2)	(1)
Deferred charges, noncurrent assets and other regulatory assets	7	(32)
Deferred credits and other regulatory liabilities	(10)	12
Superfund and other environmental costs (net)	(1)	1
Other liabilities	(16)	(10)
NET CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	17	39
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Utility construction expenditures	(24)	(24)
Cost of removal less salvage	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(1)
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(24)	(25)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net proceeds of short-term debt	19	-
Retirement of long-term debt	(1)	(1)
Dividend to parent	(10)	(9)
NET CASH FLOWS (USED IN)/FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	8	(10)
CASH AND TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS:		
NET CHANGE FOR THE PERIOD	1	4
BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	38	69
BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 39	\$ 73
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash paid/(refunded) during the period for:		
Interest	\$6	\$6
Income taxes	-	\$6

### Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(Millions o	of Dollars)
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and temporary cash investments	\$ 39	\$ 38
Accounts receivable - customers, less allowance for		
uncollectible accounts of \$4 and \$5 in 2013 and 2012, respectively	82	56
Accrued unbilled revenue	28	38
Other receivables, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$2 in 2013 and 2012	3	3
Accounts receivable from affiliated companies	73	73
Gas in storage, at average cost	6	19
Materials and supplies, at average cost	14	13
Prepayments	26	24
Deferred tax assets - current	53	51
Regulatory assets	4	13
Other current assets	11	11
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	339	339
INVESTMENTS	16	16
UTILITY PLANT, AT ORIGINAL COST		
Electric	1,307	1,297
Gas	577	573
General	176	175
Total	2,060	2,045
Less: Accumulated depreciation	574	563
Net	1,486	1,482
Construction work in progress	83	80
NET UTILITY PLANT	1,569	1,562
DEFERRED CHARGES, REGULATORY ASSETS AND NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Regulatory assets	711	733
Other deferred charges and noncurrent assets	23	21
TOTAL DEFERRED CHARGES, REGULATORY ASSETS AND		
NONCURRENT ASSETS	734	754
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,658	\$ 2,671

### Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(Millions of Dollars)	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Long-term debt due within one year	\$ 3	\$ 3
Notes payable	22	3
Accounts payable	87	135
Accounts payable to affiliated companies	24	21
Customer deposits	12	12
Accrued taxes	6	3
Accrued taxes to affiliated companies	16	5
Accrued interest	9	8
Accrued wages	8	10
Fair value of derivative liabilities	2	6
Regulatory liabilities	33	38
Other current liabilities	19	36
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	241	280
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Provision for injuries and damages	7	7
Pensions and retiree benefits	453	458
Superfund and other environmental costs	110	113
Fair value of derivative liabilities	17	18
Other noncurrent liabilities	3	3_
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	590	599
DEFERRED CREDITS AND REGULATORY LIABILITIES		
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	506	493
Regulatory liabilities	124	124
Other deferred credits	3	3
TOTAL DEFERRED CREDITS AND REGULATORY LIABILITIES	633	620
LONG-TERM DEBT	603	604
COMMON SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (See Statement of Common Shareholders' Equity)	591	568
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY	\$ 2,658	\$ 2,671

# Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY (Unaudited)

					Accumulated	
					Other	
	Common St	tock	Additional	Retained	Comprehensive	
(Millions of Dollars/Except Share Data)	Shares Am	ount	Paid-In Capital	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Total
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011	1,000	\$ -	\$ 304	\$ 277	\$ (49)	\$ 532
Net income				20		20
Common stock dividend to parent				(9)		(9)
Other comprehensive income					7	7
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2012	1,000	\$ -	\$ 304	\$ 288	\$ (42)	\$ 550
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2012	1,000	\$ -	\$ 304	\$ 307	\$ (43)	\$ 568
Net income				30		30
Common stock dividend to parent				(10)		(10)
Other comprehensive income					3	3
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2013	1,000	\$ -	\$ 304	\$ 327	\$ (40)	\$ 591

#### **Notes to the Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

#### General

These notes accompany and form an integral part of the financial statements of Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., a New York corporation, and its subsidiaries (the Company or O&R). The Company is a regulated utility, the equity of which is owned entirely by Consolidated Edison, Inc. (Con Edison). O&R has two regulated utility subsidiaries: Rockland Electric Company (RECO) and Pike County Light & Power Company (Pike). For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, operating revenues for RECO and Pike were 17.8 percent and 1.0 percent and 19.4 percent and 0.9 percent, respectively, of O&R's consolidated operating revenues. O&R, along with its regulated utility subsidiaries, provides electric service in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of northern New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania and gas service in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of eastern Pennsylvania. RECO owns Rockland Electric Company Transition Funding LLC (Transition Funding), which was formed in 2004 in connection with the securitization of certain purchased power costs.

The Company is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the New York Public Service Commission (NYSPSC), the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (NJBPU) and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PAPUC) with respect to rates and accounting.

The interim consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2013 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (the First Quarter Financial Statements) are unaudited but, in the opinion of the Company's management, reflect all adjustments (which include only normally recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. The First Quarter Financial Statements should be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, including the notes thereto. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The Company has, pursuant to the accounting rules for subsequent events, evaluated events or transactions that occurred after March 31, 2013 through the posting on its website (May 10, 2013) of the First Quarter Financial Statements for potential recognition or disclosure in the First Quarter Financial Statements.

## Note A – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, changes to accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) are as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	
Accumulated OCI at December 31, 2012	\$(43)
OCI before reclassifications	1
Amounts reclassified from accumulated OCI related to pension	
plan liabilities, net of tax of \$1 (a)(b)	2
Net OCI at March 31, 2013	3
Accumulated OCI, net of taxes, at March 31, 2013 (b)	\$(40)

- (a) Only RECO's portion of unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit costs are recorded into, and amortized out of, OCI. All other such costs are recorded through regulatory assets. The net actuarial losses and prior service costs recognized during the period are included in the computation of net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit cost. See Notes E and F.
- (b) Tax reclassified from accumulated OCI is reported in the income tax expense line item of the income statement.

#### Note B –Regulatory Matters

#### Other Regulatory Matters

In April 2010, the NJBPU approved a March 2010 stipulation among RECO, the Division of Rate Counsel and Staff of the NJBPU, authorizing RECO to recover, through a customer bill surcharge, the revenue requirement impact associated with 50 percent of up to \$19.4 million of the costs of certain RECO smart electric grid projects for which RECO receives grants for the remaining 50 percent of such costs from the United States Department of Energy under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. The revenue requirement recovered through the bill surcharge includes a return on investment based upon a return on common equity of 10.3 percent. Pursuant to the stipulation, in the company's next base rate proceeding, the NJBPU will review the projects' costs, require the company to refund to customers amounts collected for costs, if any, that were not prudent, reasonable and incremental, and include in the company's rate base the remaining projects' costs.

In late October 2012, Superstorm Sandy caused extensive damage to the Company's electric distribution system and interrupted service to approximately 0.3 million customers. In 2012 O&R incurred response and restoration costs for Superstorm Sandy of \$90 million (including capital expenditures of \$15 million). Most of the costs that were not capitalized were deferred for recovery as a regulatory asset under the Company's electric rate plans. See "Regulatory Assets and Liabilities" below. The New York electric rate plan includes provisions for revenue decoupling, as a result of which delivery revenues generally are not affected by changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were approved. The provisions of the New York electric plan that impose penalties for operating performance provide for exceptions for major storms and catastrophic events beyond the control of the Company, including natural disasters such as hurricanes and floods. The NYSPSC, the New York State Attorney General and a commission appointed by the Governor of New York are investigating the preparation and performance of the Company in connection with Superstorm Sandy and other major storms.

In March 2013, the NJBPU established a proceeding to review the prudency of costs incurred by New Jersey utilities, including RECO, in response to major storm events in 2011 and 2012. At March 31, 2013, RECO had \$27 million of storm costs deferred for recovery as a regulatory asset and had incurred \$6 million of capital expenditures related to the storms.

#### Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

Regulatory assets and liabilities at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were comprised of the following items:

(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012
Regulatory assets		
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement costs	\$253	\$270
Deferred storm costs	114	124
Environmental remediation costs	114	115
Future federal income tax	102	91
Transition bond charges	37	39
Pension and other postretirement benefits deferrals	30	29
Deferred derivative losses – long-term	18	20
Surcharge for New York State assessment	7	5
Other Other	36	40
Regulatory assets – long-term	711	733
Deferred derivative losses - current	3	8
Recoverable energy costs - current	1	5
Regulatory assets - current	4	13
Total regulatory assets	\$715	\$746
Regulatory liabilities		
Allowance for cost of removal less salvage	\$84	\$82
Carrying charges on transmission and distribution net plant	15	18
Other	25	24
Regulatory liabilities – long-term	124	124
Refundable energy cost – current	30	34
Revenue decoupling mechanism	3	4
Regulatory liabilities – current	33	38
Total regulatory liabilities	\$157	\$162

"Deferred storm costs" represent response and restoration costs, other than capital expenditures, in connection with Superstorm Sandy and other major storms that were deferred by the Company under its electric rate plans. See "Other Regulatory Matters," above.

#### Note C - Capitalization

#### **Long-Term Debt**

The carrying amounts and fair values of long-term debt are:

	March 3	March 31, 2013		31, 2012
	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
(Millions of Dollars)	Amount	Value	Amount	Value
Long-Term Debt (including current portion)	\$606	\$713	\$607	\$717

Fair values of long-term debt have been estimated primarily using available market information.

#### Note D – Short-Term Borrowing

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, O&R had \$22 million and \$3 million of commercial paper outstanding, respectively. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$15 million and \$10 million of letters of credit, respectively, and no other borrowings were outstanding for O&R under the Credit Agreement.

#### Note E - Pension Benefits

#### **Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

The components of the Company's net periodic benefit costs for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012
Service cost – including administrative expenses	\$ 5	\$ 4
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	8	9
Expected return on plan assets	(9)	(9)
Recognition of net actuarial loss	11	9
Recognition of prior service costs	=	1
NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST	\$15	\$14
Cost capitalized	(4)	(4)
Reconciliation to rate level	(2)	2
Cost charged to operating expenses	\$ 9	\$12

#### **Expected Contributions**

Based on estimates as of March 31, 2013, O&R expects to make contributions to the pension plan during 2013 of \$57 million. O&R's policy is to fund its accounting cost to the extent tax deductible.

#### Note F – Other Postretirement Benefits

#### **Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

The components of the Company's net periodic postretirement benefit costs for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012
Service cost	\$1	\$1
Interest cost on accumulated other postretirement benefit obligation	2	2
Expected return on plan assets	(2)	(2)
Recognition of net actuarial loss	2	3
Recognition of prior service costs	(1)	(1)
NET PERIODIC POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT COST	\$ 2	\$3
Cost capitalized	(1)	(2)
Reconciliation to rate level	1	5
Cost charged to operating expenses	\$2	\$6

#### **Expected Contributions**

Based on estimates as of March 31, 2013, O&R expects to make a contribution of \$0.3 million to the other postretirement benefit plans in 2013.

#### Note G - Environmental Matters

#### **Superfund Sites**

Hazardous substances, such as asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and coal tar, have been used or generated in the course of operations of O&R and its predecessors and are present at sites and in facilities and equipment they currently or previously owned, including seven sites at which gas was manufactured or stored.

The Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state statutes (Superfund) impose joint and several liability, regardless of fault, upon generators of hazardous substances for investigation and remediation costs (which include costs of demolition, removal, disposal, storage, replacement, containment, and monitoring) and natural resource damages. Liability under these laws can be material and may be imposed for contamination from past acts, even though such past acts may have been lawful at the time they occurred. The sites at which O&R has been asserted to have liability under these laws, including its manufactured gas plant sites and any neighboring areas to which contamination may have migrated, are referred to herein as "Superfund Sites."

For Superfund Sites where there are other potentially responsible parties and O&R is not managing the site investigation and remediation, the accrued liability represents an estimate of the amount O&R will need to pay to investigate and, where determinable, discharge its related obligations. For Superfund Sites (including the manufactured gas plant sites) for which O&R is managing the investigation and remediation, the accrued liability represents an estimate of the Company's share of undiscounted cost to investigate and remediate the sites. Remediation costs are estimated based on the information available, applicable remediation standards, and experience with similar sites.

The accrued liabilities and regulatory assets related to Superfund Sites at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012
Accrued Liabilities:		
Manufactured gas plant sites	\$109	\$112
Other Superfund Sites	1	1
Total	\$110	\$113
Regulatory assets	\$114	\$115

The Superfund Sites have been investigated. However, for some of the sites, the extent and associated cost of the required remediation has not yet been determined. As information pertaining to the required remediation becomes available, the company expects that additional liability may be accrued, the amount of which is not presently determinable but may be material. Under its current rate plans for provision of electric and gas service in New York, O&R is permitted to recover or defer as regulatory assets (for subsequent recovery through rates) certain site investigation and remediation costs.

Insurance recoveries related to Superfund Sites for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and for the year ended December 31, 2012 were immaterial. Environmental remediation costs incurred related to Superfund Sites for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012
Remediation costs incurred	\$3.0	\$0.2

In 2010, O&R estimated that for its manufactured gas plant sites, each of which has been investigated, the aggregate undiscounted potential liability for the remediation of coal tar and/or other manufactured gas plant related environmental contaminants could range up to \$200 million. These estimates were based on assumptions regarding the extent of contamination and the type and extent of remediation that may be required. Actual experience may be materially different.

#### **Asbestos Proceedings**

Suits have been brought in New York State and federal courts against O&R and many other defendants, wherein a large number of plaintiffs sought large amounts of compensatory and punitive damages for deaths and injuries allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos at various O&R premises. The suits that have been resolved, which are many, have been resolved without any payment by O&R, or for amounts that were not, in the aggregate, material to the Company. The amounts specified in all the remaining suits total billions of dollars, but the Company believes that these amounts are greatly exaggerated, based on the disposition of previous claims.

In addition, certain current and former employees have claimed or are claiming workers' compensation benefits based on alleged disability from exposure to asbestos. The Company defers as regulatory assets (for subsequent recovery through rates) liabilities incurred for asbestos claims by employees and third-party contractors relating to its divested generating plants.

The Company's accrued liability for asbestos suits and workers' compensation proceedings (including those related to asbestos exposure) at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012
Accrued liability – asbestos suits	\$0.3	\$0.3
Regulatory assets – asbestos suits	0.3	0.3
Accrued liability – workers' compensation	\$4.7	\$4.8
Regulatory assets – workers' compensation	-	0.1

#### Note H - Income Tax

The lower effective tax rate of 17% in the first quarter of 2013 is primarily attributable to changes in estimates relating to accumulated deferred income taxes.

#### Note I – Financial Information by Business Segment

The financial data for the business segments are as follows:

		For the Three Months Ended March 31,						
		ating	Inter-se	•		ition and		ating
	rever	nues	rever	nues	amorti	zation	inco	ome
(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Electric	\$145	\$128	\$-	\$-	\$10	\$ 9	\$20	\$8
Gas	82	82	-	-	4	4	27	30
Total	\$227	\$210	\$-	\$-	\$14	\$13	\$47	\$38

#### Note J – Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging, derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at fair value, unless an exception is available under the accounting rules. Certain qualifying derivative contracts have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts. These contracts are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules.

#### **Energy Price Hedging**

The Company hedges market price fluctuations associated with physical purchases of electricity by using electric and gas derivative instruments including futures, forwards, and options.

Effective January 1, 2013, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Updates (ASUs) No. 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" and No. 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities". The amendments require the Company to disclose certain quantitative information concerning financial and derivative instruments that are offset in the balance sheet and a description of the rights of setoff, including the nature of such rights, associated with recognized assets and liabilities that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement.

The Company enters into master agreements for their commodity derivatives. These agreements typically provide setoff provisions in the event of contract termination. In such case, generally the non-defaulting or non-affected party's payable will be set-off by the other party's payable. The non-defaulting party will customarily notify the defaulting party within a specific time period and come to an agreement on the early termination amount.

The fair values of the Company's commodity derivatives including the offsetting of assets and liabilities at March 31, 2013 were:

(Millions	of	Dollars)

Commodity Derivatives	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets/(Liabilities)	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position	Net Amounts of Assets/(Liabilities) Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amo Offset in the of Financial	Statement	Net Amount
				Financial instruments	Cash collateral received	
Derivative assets	\$ 5	\$(3)	\$ 2 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2 <sup>(a)</sup>
Derivative liabilities	(18)	3	(15)	-	-	(15)
Net derivative assets/(liabilities)	\$(13)	\$ -	\$(13) <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$(13) <sup>(a)</sup>

a) On March 31, 2013, the Company had margin deposits of \$2 million classified as derivative assets in the balance sheet, but not included in the table. As required by an exchange, a margin is collateral, typically cash, that the holder of a derivative instrument has to deposit in order to transact on an exchange and to cover its potential losses with its broker or the exchange.

The fair values of the Company's commodity derivatives including the offsetting of assets and liabilities at December 31, 2012 were:

(Millions of Dollars)

Commodity Derivatives	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets/(Liabilities)	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position	Net Amounts of Assets/(Liabilities) Presented in the Statement of Financial Position	Gross Amo Offset in the of Financial	Statement	Net Amount
-				Financial instruments	Cash collateral received	
Derivative assets	\$3	\$(2)	\$1 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$1 <sup>(a)</sup>
Derivative liabilities	(23)	4	(19)	=	-	(19)
Net derivative assets/(liabilities)	\$(20)	\$2	\$(18) <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$(18) <sup>(a)</sup>

a) On December 31, 2012, the Company had margin deposits of \$2 million classified as derivative assets in the balance sheet, but not included in the table. As required by an exchange, a margin is collateral, typically cash, that the holder of a derivative instrument has to deposit in order to transact on an exchange and to cover its potential losses with its broker or the exchange.

O&R and CECONY (together with O&R, the Utilities) have combined their gas requirements, and contracts to meet those requirements, into a single portfolio. The combined portfolio is administered by, and related management services (including hedging market price fluctuations associated with the physical purchase of gas) are provided by, CECONY (for itself and as agent for O&R) and costs (net of the effect of the related hedging transactions) are allocated between the Utilities in accordance with provisions approved by the NYSPSC. See Note K.

#### **Credit Exposure**

The Company is exposed to credit risk related to transactions entered into primarily for the various energy supply and hedging activities. The Company uses credit policies to manage this risk, including an established credit approval process, monitoring of counterparty limits, netting provisions within agreements and collateral or prepayment arrangements.

The Company had \$3 million of credit exposure in connection with energy supply and hedging activities, net of collateral, at March 31, 2013. The Company's net credit exposure consisted of \$2 million with commodity exchange brokers and \$1 million with investment-grade counterparties.

#### **Economic Hedges**

The Company enters into certain derivative instruments that do not qualify or are not designated as hedges under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging. However, management believes these instruments represent economic hedges that mitigate exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices.

The fair values of the Company's commodity derivatives at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were:

Fair Value of Commodity Derivatives (a)

(Millions of Dollars)

Current

Long-term

Millions of Dollars)	Balance Sheet Location	2013	2012
	Derivative Assets		
Current	Other current assets	\$2	\$1
ong-term	Other deferred charges and non-current assets	3	2
Total derivative ass	eets	\$5	\$3
Impact of netting		(1)	_

Impact of net	ting	(1)	-
Net derivative	assets	\$4	\$3
	Derivative Liabilities		
Current	Fair value of derivative liabilities	\$ 4	\$8
Long-term	Fair value of derivative liabilities	14	15
Total derivativ	ve liabilities	18	\$23
Impact of net	ting	(3)	(4)
Net derivative	liabilities	\$15	\$19

<sup>(</sup>a) Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivative and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.

The Company generally recovers all of its prudently incurred purchased power and gas costs, including hedging gains and losses, in accordance with rate provisions approved by the applicable state utility commissions. In accordance with the accounting rules for regulated operations, the Company records a regulatory asset or liability to defer recognition of unrealized gains and losses on its commodity derivatives. As gains and losses are realized in future periods, they will be recognized as purchased power costs in the Company's consolidated income statement.

The following table presents the changes in the fair values of commodity derivatives that have been deferred for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

Re	ealized and Unrealized Gains/(Lo	sses) on Commodity Derivativ	es <sup>(a)</sup>		
(Millions of Dollars)	Balance Sheet Location	Deferred for the Three Mon	he Three Months Ended March 31		
		2013	2012		
Current	Deferred derivative gains	\$-	\$-		
Long-term	Deferred derivative gains	-	-		

		2010	2012
Current	Deferred derivative gains	\$-	\$-
Long-term	Deferred derivative gains	-	=
Total deferred of	gains/(losses)	\$-	\$-
Current	Deferred derivative losses	\$6	\$(9)
Current	Recoverable energy costs	1	(18)
Long-term	Deferred derivative losses	1	(2)
Total deferred	gains/(losses)	\$8	\$(29)
Net deferred gains/(losses)		\$8	\$(29)

Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company had 80 electric or gas derivative contracts hedging electric energy or capacity market prices, which were considered to be derivatives under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging (excluding qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts). The following table presents the number of contracts by commodity type:

	Electric Do	erivatives		Gas De	erivatives	
Number of		Number of		Number		Total Number
Energy		Capacity		of		of
Contracts <sup>(a)</sup>	MWHs <sup>(b)</sup>	Contracts <sup>(a)</sup>	MWs <sup>(b)</sup>	Contracts <sup>(a)</sup>	Dths <sup>(b)</sup>	Contracts <sup>(a)</sup>
26	1,302,703	3	4,912	51	4,830,000	80

- (a) Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivative and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.
- (b) Volumes are reported net of long and short positions.

The collateral requirements associated with, and settlement of, derivative transactions are included in net cash flows from operating activities in the Company's consolidated statement of cash flows. Most derivative instrument contracts contain provisions that may require the Company to provide collateral on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The Utilities enter into separate derivative instruments for electric energy or capacity, and CECONY enters into derivative instruments in connection with the Utilities' joint gas supply arrangements (See Note K). The amount of collateral to be provided will depend on the fair value of the derivative instruments and the Utilities' credit ratings.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position, and collateral posted at March 31, 2013, and the additional collateral that would have been required to be posted had the lowest applicable credit rating been reduced one level and to below investment grade were:

(Millions of Dollars)	
Aggregate fair value – net liabilities <sup>(a)</sup>	\$2
Collateral posted	-
Additional collateral <sup>(b)</sup> (downgrade one level from current rating <sup>(c)</sup> )	-
Additional collateral <sup>(b)</sup> (downgrade to below investment grade from current rating <sup>(c)</sup> )	3 <sup>(d)</sup>

- (a) Non-derivative transactions for the purchase and sale of electricity and qualifying derivative instruments, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales, are excluded from the table. These transactions primarily include purchases of electricity from independent system operators. For certain other such non-derivative transactions, the Company could be required to post collateral under certain circumstances, including in the event counterparties had reasonable grounds for insecurity.
- (b) The additional collateral amounts shown above are based upon the estimated O&R allocation of the Utilities' collateral requirements. The Utilities measure the collateral requirements by taking into consideration the fair value amounts of derivative instruments that contain credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position plus amounts owed to counterparties for settled transactions and amounts required by counterparties for minimum financial security. The fair value amounts represent unrealized losses, net of any unrealized gains where the Utilities have a legally enforceable right of setoff.
- (c) The current long-term ratings of O&R are Baa1/A-/A- by Moody's, S&P, and Fitch, respectively. Credit ratings assigned by rating agencies are expressions of opinions that are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency.
- (d) Derivative instruments that are net assets have been excluded from the table. At March 31, 2013, if O&R had been downgraded to below investment grade, it would have been required to post additional collateral for such derivative instruments of \$1 million.

#### **Interest Rate Swaps**

O&R has an interest rate swap pursuant to which it pays a fixed-rate of 6.09 percent and receives a LIBOR-based variable rate. The fair value of this interest rate swap at March 31, 2013 was an unrealized loss of \$5 million, which has been included in the company's consolidated balance sheet as a noncurrent liability/fair value of derivative liabilities and a regulatory asset. The increase in the fair value of the swap for the three months ended

March 31, 2013 was \$1 million. In the event O&R's credit rating was downgraded to BBB- or lower by S&P or Baa3 or lower by Moody's, the swap counterparty could elect to terminate the agreement and, if it did so, the parties would then be required to settle the transaction.

#### Note K - Fair Value Measurements

The accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures define fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in a principal or most advantageous market. Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on inputs, which refer broadly to assumptions that market participants use in pricing assets or liabilities. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable firm inputs. The Company often makes certain assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk, and the risks inherent in the inputs to valuation techniques. The Company uses valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures establish a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value in three broad levels. The rules require that assets and liabilities be classified in their entirety based on the level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Assessing the significance of a particular input may require judgment considering factors specific to the asset or liability, and may affect the valuation of the asset or liability and their placement within the fair value hierarchy. The Company classifies fair value balances based on the fair value hierarchy defined by the accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures as follows:

- Level 1 Consists of assets or liabilities whose value is based on unadjusted quoted prices in active
  markets at the measurement date. An active market is one in which transactions for assets or liabilities
  occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. This
  category includes contracts traded on active exchange markets valued using unadjusted prices quoted
  directly from the exchange.
- Level 2 Consists of assets or liabilities valued using industry standard models and based on prices, other than quoted prices within Level 1, that are either directly or indirectly observable as of the measurement date. The industry standard models consider observable assumptions including time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying commodities, in addition to other economic measures. This category includes contracts traded on active exchanges or in over-the-counter markets priced with industry standard models.
- Level 3 Consists of assets or liabilities whose fair value is estimated based on internally developed
  models or methodologies using inputs that are generally less readily observable and supported by little, if
  any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs are developed based on the best

available information and subject to cost benefit constraints. This category includes contracts priced using models that are internally developed and contracts placed in illiquid markets. It also includes contracts that expire after the period of time for which quoted prices are available and internal models are used to determine a significant portion of the value.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2013 are summarized below.

				Netting	
(Millions of Dollars)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Adjustments (d)	Total
Derivative assets:					
Commodity (a)(e)	\$-	\$4	\$-	\$-	\$4
Other assets (c)(e)	7	9	=	=	16
Total	\$7	\$13	\$-	\$-	\$20
Derivative liabilities:					
Commodity	\$-	\$2	\$15	\$(3)	\$14
Interest rate contract (b)(e)	=	5	=	=	5
Total	\$-	\$7	\$15	\$(3)	\$19

- (a) A portion of the commodity derivative contracts categorized in Level 3 is valued using an internally developed model with observable inputs. The model also includes some less readily observable inputs resulting in the classification of the respective contract as Level 3. See Note J.
- (b) See Note J.
- (c) Other assets are comprised of assets such as life insurance contracts within the deferred compensation plan and non-qualified retirement plans.
- (d) Amounts represent the impact of legally-enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to net gain and loss positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.
- (e) The Companies' policy is to recognize transfers into and transfers out of the levels at the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between levels 1, 2, and 3 for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 are summarized below.

			Netting	
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Adjustments (d)	Total
\$-	\$ 3	\$ -	\$-	\$ 3
7	9	-	-	16
\$7	\$12	\$ -	\$-	\$ 19
\$-	\$ 4	\$19	\$(4)	\$19
-	6	-	-	6
\$-	\$10	\$19	\$(4)	\$25
	\$- 7 \$7	\$- \$3 7 9 \$7 \$12 \$- \$4 - 6	\$- \$3 \$ - 7 9 - \$7 \$12 \$ - \$- \$4 \$19 - 6 -	Level 1         Level 2         Level 3         Adjustments (d)           \$-         \$3         \$ -         \$-           7         9         -         -           \$7         \$12         \$ -         \$-           \$-         \$ 4         \$19         \$(4)           -         6         -         -

- (a) A significant portion of the commodity derivative contracts categorized in Level 3 is valued using an internally developed model with observable inputs. The models also include some less readily observable inputs resulting in the classification of the respective contract as Level 3. See Note J.
- (b) See Note J
- (c) Other assets are comprised of assets such as life insurance contracts within the deferred compensation plan and non-qualified retirement plans.
- (d) Amounts represent the impact of legally-enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to net gain and loss positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.
- (e) The Company's policy is to recognize transfers into and transfers out of the levels at the end of the reporting period.
- (f) On March 31, 2012, other assets of \$10 million were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 because of reassessment of the levels in the fair value hierarchy within which certain inputs fall.

  (g) On March 31, 2012, interest rate contract of \$8 million was transferred from Level 3 to
- Level 2 because of reassessment of the levels in the fair value hierarchy within which certain inputs fall.

(h) During 2012, commodity derivative contract liabilities of \$2 million were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 because of reassessment of the levels in the fair value hierarchy within which certain inputs fall.

The employees in the risk management group of the Utilities develop and maintain the Utilities' valuation policies and procedures for, and verify pricing and fair value valuation of, commodity derivatives. Under the Utilities' policies and procedures, multiple independent sources of information are obtained for forward price curves used to value commodity derivatives. Fair value and changes in fair value of commodity derivatives are reported on a monthly basis to the Utilities' risk committee, comprised of officers and employees of the Utilities' that oversee energy hedging. The managers of the risk management group report to the Utilities' Vice President and Treasurer.

#### Fair Value of Level 3 at

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2013	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Range
Electricity	\$ (3)	Discounted cash flow	Forward energy prices	\$28-\$116 per MWH
Standard Offer Capacity	(12)	Discounted cash flow	Forward capacity prices (a)	\$166 MW-day
Agreements			Forward price escalator <sup>(a)</sup>	0% - 3%
			Present value factor <sup>(a)</sup>	1.66%
Total	\$(15)			

(a)Generally, increases/(decreases) in this input in isolation would result in a higher/(lower) fair value measurement.

The table listed below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending net balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of March 31, 2013 and 2012 and classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013								
			s/(Losses) – d Unrealized						
(Millions of Dollars)	Beginning Balance as of January 1, 2013	Included in Earnings	Included in Regulatory Assets and Liabilities	Purchases	Issuances	Sales	Settlements	Transfer In/Out of Level 3	Ending Balance as of March 31, 2013
Derivatives: Commodity	\$(19)	\$2	\$4	\$-	\$-	\$-	<b>\$</b> (2)	\$-	<b>\$</b> (15)
Total	\$(19)	\$2	\$4	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$(2)	\$-	\$(15)

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

	Total Gains/(Losses) – Realized and Unrealized								
Millions of Dollars)	Beginning Balance as of January 1, 2012	Included in Earnings	Included in Regulatory Assets and Liabilities	Purchases	Issuances	Sales	Settlements	Transfer In/Out of Level 3	Ending Balance as of March 31, 2012
Derivatives:									
Commodity	\$(29)	\$(7)	\$(10)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7	\$ -	\$(39)
Interest rate contract	(8)	(1)	-	-	-	-	1	8	-
Other assets (a)	9	-	1	-	-	-	-	(10)	-
Total	\$(28)	\$(8)	\$ (9)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$8	\$ (2)	\$(39)

(a) Amounts included in earnings are reported in investment and other income on the consolidated income statement.

Realized gains and losses on Level 3 commodity derivative assets and liabilities are reported as part of purchased power costs. The Company generally recovers these costs in accordance with rate provisions approved by the applicable state public utilities commissions. Unrealized gains and losses for commodity derivatives are generally deferred on the consolidated balance sheet in accordance with the accounting rules for regulated operations.

The accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures require consideration of the impact of nonperformance risk (including credit risk) from a market participant perspective in the measurement of the fair value of assets and liabilities. At March 31, 2013, the Company determined that nonperformance risk would have no material impact on its financial position or results of operations. To assess nonperformance risk, the Company considered information such as collateral requirements, master netting arrangements, letters of credit and parent company guarantees, and applied a market-based method by using the counterparty (for an asset) or the Company's (for a liability) credit default swaps rates.

#### Note L – Related Party Transactions

The Company provides and receives administrative and other services to and from Con Edison and its subsidiaries pursuant to cost allocation procedures developed in accordance with rules approved by the NYSPSC and/or other regulatory authorities, as applicable. The services received include substantial administrative support operations, such as corporate secretarial and associated managerial duties, accounting, treasury, investor relations, information resources, legal, human resources, fuel supply, and energy management services. The costs of administrative and other services provided by the Company, and received from Con Edison and its other subsidiaries for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2013	2012
Cost of services provided	\$4	\$5
Cost of services received	\$10	\$11

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, O&R's payable to CECONY associated with these services was \$11 million and \$9 million, respectively.

In addition, CECONY and O&R have joint gas supply arrangements, in connection with which O&R purchased from CECONY \$17 million and \$12 million of natural gas for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These amounts are net of the effect of related hedging transactions. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, O&R's net payable to CECONY associated with these gas purchases was \$9 million. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, O&R's payable to Con Edison's competitive energy businesses associated with electricity purchases and retail services was \$1 million.

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's income tax related receivables from Con Edison was \$52 million and \$63 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's receivable from CECONY for an Economic Stimulus Grant was \$0.4 million and \$1 million.

FERC has authorized CECONY through 2013 to lend funds to O&R from time to time, for periods of not more than 12 months, in amounts not to exceed \$250 million outstanding at any time, at prevailing market rates. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no loans outstanding for O&R.

#### Note M - New Financial Accounting Standards

In December 2011 and January 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued amendments to address and clarify the scope of the balance sheet off-setting disclosure guidance within Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 210, "Balance Sheet." ASU No. 2011-11 and ASU No. 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," provide guidance that requires a reporting entity to disclose certain quantitative information concerning financial and derivative instruments that are offset in the balance sheet and a description of the rights of setoff, including the nature of such rights, associated with recognized assets and liabilities that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. ASU No. 2013-01 clarifies that financial instruments subject to the disclosure guidance are (1) derivatives accounted for in accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, (2) repurchase agreements and reverse purchase agreements and (3) securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with ASC Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. A reporting entity electing gross presentation of such assets and liabilities in its balance sheet will still be subject to the same disclosure requirements. Both ASUs are applicable for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, interim periods within those fiscal years, and retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The application of this guidance does not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and liquidity. See Note J.

In February 2013, the FASB issued amendments to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated OCI through ASU No. 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." The amendments require an entity to provide information either on the face of the financial statements or in a single footnote on significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated OCI and the related income statement line items to the extent an amount is reclassified in its entirety to net income under U.S. GAAP. For significant items not reclassified to net income in their entirety, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional information. For public entities, the amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The application of this guidance does not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and liquidity. See Note A.